1	Kamala D. Harris	FILED
2	Attorney General of California JOSE R. GUERRERO	
3	State Bar No. 97276 Supervising Deputy Attorney General	JUL 12 2011
4	CATHERINE E. SANTILLAN Senior Legal Analyst	ACUPUNCTURE BOARD
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	
6	Telephone: (415) 703-5579 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE ACUPUNCTURE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	STATE OF	7
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 1A-2010-138
12	LAWRANCE H. TSAI 1373 Lasuen Drive	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
13	Millbrae, CA 94030	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
14	Applicant.	
15	Applicant.	
16		
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	<u>PARTIES</u>	
19	1. Jannelle Wedge (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official	
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Acupuncture Board, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
21	2. On or about March 23, 2011, the Acupuncture Board, Department of Consumer	
22	Affairs received an application for an Acupuncture license from Lawrance H. Tsai (Applicant).	
23	On or about March 22, 2011, Applicant certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of al	
24	statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on	
25	April 25, 2011. Applicant requested a hearing on May 18, 2011.	
26		
27		
28		

3

10 11

..12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Acupuncture Board (Board),
 Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section
 references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 4955 of the Code states:

"The board may deny, suspend, or revoke, or impose probationary conditions upon, the license of any acupuncturist if he or she is guilty of unprofessional conduct.

"Unprofessional conduct shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

" "

"(b) Conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of an acupuncturist, the record of conviction being conclusive evidence thereof."

66 22

- "(i) Any action or conduct that would have warranted the denial of the acupuncture license."
- 5. Section 475 of the Code states:
- "(a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:

66 99

- "(2) Conviction of a crime.
- "(4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.

66 99

- "(c) A license shall not be denied, suspended, or revoked on the grounds of a lack of good moral character or any similar ground relating to an applicant's character, reputation, personality, or habits."
 - 6. Section 480 of the Code states:

- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

11 ,77

- "(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- "(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, no person shall be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.

7. Section 490 of the Code states:

- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under

subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.

"(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

** . . . **

8. Section 493 of the Code states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

"As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'"

CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction)

9. Applicant's application is subject to denial under code sections 475(1)(2), 480(A)(1) 480(3)(B), 490(a) and (c), and 4955(b) in that he has two substantially related misdemeanor convictions. The circumstances are as follows:

2010 DUI conviction

- 10. On or about October 20, 2009, at approximately 5:06 p.m., California Highway Patrol Officer Tharp investigated a report of a reckless driver on Main Street in Saint Helena, California. He observed a vehicle matching the report, and conducted a traffic stop. The driver was identified as Applicant, via his driver's license. As the officer spoke to Applicant, the officer smelled a strong odor of alcohol and observed that Applicant appeared to have red wine stains on his mouth. Applicant admitted that he drank a total of six glasses of wine at two wineries earlier that day. Per the officer's instructions, Applicant performed field sobriety tests. Based on his poor performance, objective symptoms of intoxication, admission of drinking alcohol, and poor driving behavior, the officer formed the opinion that Applicant was under the influence of alcohol and arrested Applicant for violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a) [driving under the influence of alcohol] and Vehicle Code section 23152(b) [driving with a blood alcohol content of .08% or more]. A blood sample was obtained from Applicant, which indicated a blood alcohol content of .16%.
- 11. On or about April 1, 2010, in *People of the State of California vs. Lawrance H. Tsai*, Napa County Superior Court case number CR149009, Applicant was convicted on his plea of nolo contendere to a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(b) [driving with a blood alcohol content above .08%], and he admitted a special allegation per Vehicle Code section 23578 [excessive blood alcohol above .15%]. He was sentenced as follows: summary probation for five years under terms and conditions, including payment of fines, serve ten days in jail with credit for two days served, interlock ignition device installed for two years, enroll and successfully complete an 18 month Drinking Drivers Program.

2005 DUI conviction

12. On or about February 24, 2005, in *People of the State of California vs. Lawrance H. Tsai*, Los Angeles County Superior Court case number 5PM00551, Applicant was convicted on his plea of nolo contendere to a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(b) [driving with a blood alcohol content above .08%.] He was sentenced to three years formal probation,